

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/11/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/666,431	09/19/2003	Rento Tanase	P 306046 H7975US	8307
75	90 10/11/2006		EXAM	INER
Pillsbury Wint	hrop LLP		KATCHEVE	S, BASIL S
Intellectual Prop	perty Group			
Suite 2800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
725 South Figueroa Street			3635	
	A 90017-5406			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

t		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/666,431	TANASE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Basil Katcheves	3635			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 August 2006.  2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 5,8,13 and 14 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 5, 8, 13 and 14 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
<ul> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

The applicant has cancelled claims 1-4, 6, 7, 9-12 and 15-27 and amended claims 5, 8, 13 and 14 in the amendment dated 8/14/06. Pending claims 5, 8, 13 and 14 are examined below.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 5, 8, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,329,827 to Thorn.

Regarding claim 5, Thorn discloses a hollow panel (Figure 5) comprising first hollow portions with a first width and second hollow portions arranged in the same plane and with a second width different than the first width, wherein the partition walls (4) are made of wood (column 2, last line). In addition, Thorn discloses a flat outer side portion (fig. 5: far left 4) between the hollow portions and made as the same material (as inner walls 4 of fig. 5).

Regarding claims 8, 13 and 14, discloses a hollow panel (Figure 5) comprising first hollow portions (fig. 5: cavity adjacent the number 2) with a first width and second hollow portions (cavity between numbers 4 in fig. 5) arranged in the same plane and

Art Unit: 3635

with a second width different than the first width, wherein the partition walls (4) are made of wood (column 2, last line). In addition, Thorn discloses a solid portion forming member (fig. 5: 5) is disposed at a part of the first and second hollow portions with a section shape that corresponds to the shape of the part, the member (5) being located outside (not inserted into) of the hollow portion (the hollow portion being the area between members 5 and between where the numbers 4 point).

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 8/14/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues the differences between the prior art cited in the previous rejection with the currently amended limitations. The rejection above address the amended limitations with regard to the prior art. Regarding claim 8, the applicant argues that Thorn does not teach a solid portion forming member. However, as noted in the rejection above, Thorn teaches this component, as the structural limitation in the claims are broad and are limited to only the name of the object claimed.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/666,431

Art Unit: 3635

·

Page 4

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Basil Katcheves whose telephone number is (571) 272-6846. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Naoko Slack, can be reached at (571) 272-6848.

BK

10/5/06

Basil Katcheves

Primary Examiner, AU 3635